

To cite this paper:

Neshataev A. (2022) Features' analysis of the pronatalist policy instruments in regions with high fertility. *Human Progress*. 8. (2): 10. URL: http://progress-human.com/images/2022/Tom8_2/Neshataev.pdf. DOI 10.34709/IM.182.10. EDN BHMBNV.

FEATURES' ANALYSIS OF THE PRONATALIST POLICY INSTRUMENTS IN REGIONS WITH HIGH FERTILITY

Alexander Neshataev

Master student of The Ural Federal University
Yekaterinburg, Russia

Abstract. One of the factors determining demographic attitudes is demographic policy. Birth rates vary significantly between regions of the Russian Federation. The article considers the regions with the highest total fertility rate. The hypothesis of the study suggests the presence of other factors affecting the birth rate in regions with high birth rates – specific regional support for families with children, non-profit organizations activities and the dominant culture peculiarities. The results of the study showed that the regions are implementing measures to support families, but they are more represented in the most economically stable regions, that have more favorable fertility dynamics. According to a number of criteria, the non-profit sector in the studied regions has favorable indicators, but at the same time, its structure in the regions differs significantly. Sociocultural factors that can influence reproductive attitudes have been identified: religious, indigenous population' ethnic traditions, family and tribal mutual assistance. The obtained results are debatable and require further research on this topic.

Keywords: fertility; family support; pro-natalist policy; regional family policy; nonprofit organization; cultural characteristics.

JEL codes: J11.

Acknowledgment

The reported study was funded by the Council for Grants of the President of the Russian Federation for State Support of Leading Scientific Schools of the Russian Federation; project number NSh-1327.2022.2: “Institutions to support Russian pronatalist policy: potential and prospects for influencing birth rate growth”.

References

1. Luci-Greulich, A., Thévenon, O. (2013) The Impact of Family Policies on Fertility Trends in Developed Countries // *European Journal of Population*. Vol. 29. P.: 387–416. DOI 10.1007/s10680-013-9295-4
2. Cohen, A.; Dehejia, R.; Romanov, D. (2013) Financial incentives and fertility // *The Review of Economics and Statistics*. Vol. 95. No. 1. P.: 1-20. DOI: 10.1162/REST_a_00342.
3. Björklund, A. (2006) Does family policy affect fertility? // *Journal of Population Economics*. Vol. 19, P.: 3–24. DOI 10.1007/s00148-005-0024-0.
4. Gagarina, S.N.; Mauhin, D.A. (2021) State regulation of population reproduction // *International Journal of the Humanities and Natural Sciences*. No. 3. P.: 178-181.
5. Rybakovsky, O.L.; Tayunova, O.A. (2012) Maternal capital and birth rate growth in Russia in 2007-2012 // *Today and tomorrow of the Russian economy*. No. 55. P.: 73-78.
6. Tkachenko, O.A. (2018) Demographic revival or deceptio visus? // *Power*. No. 1. P.: 47-58.
7. Popkov, Yu.V.; Tyugashev, E.A. (2018) Economic culture of Tuvans in the horizon of the socio-cultural approach // *New studies of Tuva*. No. 2. P.: 22-39.
8. Styrov, M.M. (2021) Spiritual and moral aspects of the demographic problems of Russia and its northern regions // *Demography and global challenges: materials of the international. demographer. Forum* (Voronezh, September 30-October 02, 2021). Voronezh: VGLTU named after G.F. Morozov. P.: 813-818.
9. Magdieva, N.T.; Magomedbegov, K.K. (2021) Socio-economic aspects of the state of the family institution in the current demographic situation in the Republic of Dagestan // *Russian Economic Bulletin*. No. 2. P.: 20-24.
10. Sharin, V.I.; Kulkova, I.A. (2019) Influence of the assistance of the older generation on the birth rate in Russia // *Population*. No. 2. P.: 40-50.

Contact

Alexander Neshataev
Ural Federal University
19, Mira, 620062, Yekaterinburg, Russia
neshataev7@gmail.com